

INFLUENCE OF AIR SUSPENSION FLOW PARAMETERS ON FLAME PROPAGATION VELOCITY AND LIMITS

A. G. Egorov

Togliatti State University, 14 Belorusskaya Str., Togliatti 445020, Russian Federation eag@tltu.ru

Abstract: The article presents experimental data on how the initial parameters of an aluminum particle–air suspension flow — specifically, the air-to-fuel equivalence ratio (ER) (α), Sauter mean diameter (d_{32}), initial temperature (T_0), and turbulence intensity (ε_0) — influence the visible flame speed and propagation limits. The behavior of the flame speed as a function of the ER, $u_f(\alpha)$, was established for the air suspension flows of ASD-1 and ASD-4 aluminum powders. For both grades, in the rich region on the dependence graph, there is a maximum at $\alpha \approx 0.2$. For ASD-1 particles with $d_{32} = 17.4 \mu\text{m}$, which burn in the diffusion mode, the flame speed decreases monotonically with an increase in the ER. For ASD-4 particles with $d_{32} = 7.4 \mu\text{m}$, which burn in the kinetic mode, the $u_f(\alpha)$ dependence has a second maximum near the stoichiometry. The dependence of the visible flame velocity on the ER obtained in this work in a wide range of $\alpha = 0.1\text{--}3.0$ provides a correct interpretation of the results of studies both in flows and in quiescent air suspensions of aluminum particles.

Keywords: visible speed; limits; propagation; flame; composition; air suspension; mode; combustion; air-to-fuel equivalence ratio

DOI: 10.30826/CE26190205

EDN: SXZCBX

Figure Captions

Figure 1 Schematic diagram of the experimental setup: 1 — ejector nozzle; 2 — powder intake tube; 3 — hopper; 4 — ejector receiving chamber; 5 — ejector mixing chamber; 6 — combustion chamber; 7 — perforated disk; 8 — spark plug; and 9 and 10 — electric valves

Figure 2 Influence of ER and initial temperature (1 — $T_0 = 293 \text{ K}$; 2 — 423 ; and 3 — $T_0 = 523 \text{ K}$) on the flame speed and propagation limits; ASD-1 powder ($d_{32} = 17.4 \mu\text{m}$); $D = 0.08 \text{ m}$; and $P_0 = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$

Figure 3 Influence of turbulence intensity and scale on flame speed and propagation limits, ASD-1 powder ($d_{32} = 17.4 \mu\text{m}$); $D = 0.06 \text{ m}$: 1 — $l_0 = 0.01 \text{ mm}$ and $\varepsilon_0 = 12\%$; and 2 — $l_0 = 0.07 \text{ mm}$ and $\varepsilon_0 = 22\%$

Figure 4 Influence of the fractional composition of a polydisperse aluminum–air suspension (1 — $5 \mu\text{m} - 30\%$, $25 \mu\text{m} - 35\%$, and $75 \mu\text{m} - 35\%$; and 2 — $5 \mu\text{m} - 15\%$, $25 \mu\text{m} - 40\%$, and $75 \mu\text{m} - 45\%$) on the flame speed and propagation limits, $D = 0.08 \text{ m}$, $P_0 = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$, and $T_0 = 293 \text{ K}$

Figure 5 Influence of ER on the flame speed and propagation limits, ASD-4 powder ($d_{32} = 7.4 \mu\text{m}$); $D = 0.04\text{--}0.08$; $P_0 = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$; $\varepsilon_0 = 5\%\text{--}22\%$; and $T_0 = 293\text{--}523 \text{ K}$

Figure 6 Flame front in the flow of airborne suspension of aluminum particles ASD-4: $d_{32} = 7.4 \mu\text{m}$; $D = 0.042 \text{ m}$; $u_0 = 50 \text{ m/s}$; $\alpha = 0.1$; $T_0 = 293 \text{ K}$; and $P_0 = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$

Figure 7 The influence of ER on the flame speed and propagation limits, ASD-1 powder: $d_{32} = 17.4 \mu\text{m}$; $D = 0.04\text{--}0.08 \text{ m}$; $P_0 = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$; $\varepsilon_0 = 5\%\text{--}22\%$; and $T_0 = 293 \text{ K}$

Figure 8 Flame front in the flow of airborne suspension of aluminum particles ASD-1 ($d_{32} = 17.4 \mu\text{m}$); $D = 0.042 \text{ m}$; $u_0 = 50 \text{ m/s}$; $\alpha = 0.1$; $T_0 = 293 \text{ K}$; and $P_0 = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$

Figure 9 Flame propagation velocity as a function of concentration: 1 — Valimet H5 powder ($d_{32} = 5 \mu\text{m}$), experiment [15]; 2 — aluminum particle diameter $d_{32} = 6 \mu\text{m}$, experiment [2]; and 3 — stoichiometric composition

References

1. Alekseev, A. G., and I. V. Sudakova. 1983. Flame propagation velocity in air suspensions of metallic powders. *Combust. Explo. Shock Waves* 19(5):564–566.
2. Afanasiev, S. N., V. Yu. Zharkov, and E. S. Ozerov. 1985. *Vosplamenenie i gorenie gazovzvesi chastits alyuminiya* [Ignition and combustion of aluminum particle suspension]. *Fizika aerodispersnykh sistem* [Physics of Aerodisperse Systems] 27:39–42.
3. Yagodnikov, D. A. 2009. *Vosplamenenie i gorenie poroshko-obraznykh metallov* [Ignition and combustion of powdered metals]. Moscow: Bauman Moscow State Technical University Pubs. 432 p.

4. Yagodnikov, D. A., A. V. Sukhov, V. I. Malinin, and I. M. Kiryanov. 1990. Rol' reaktsii azotirovaniya v rasprostraneniі plameni po pereobogashchennym metallovodushnym smesyam [The role of the nitriding reaction in flame propagation through rich metal–air mixtures]. *Vestnik MGTU im. N. E. Baumana. Ser. Mashinostroenie* [Herald of the Bauman Moscow State Technical University. Ser. Mechanical Engineering] 1:107.
5. Poletaev, N. I. 2016. Relationship between the dust flame propagation velocity and the combustion regime of fuel particles. *Combust. Explo. Shock Waves* 52(6):670–678.
6. Shchetnikov, E. S. 1965. *Fizika goreniiya gazov* [Physics of gas combustion]. Moscow: Nauka. 740 p.
7. Egorov, A. G. 2004. Protssesy goreniiya poroshkoobraznogo alyuminiya v pryamotochnykh kamerakh reaktivnykh dvigatel'nykh ustanovok [Processes of powdered aluminum combustion in ramjet engine chambers]. Samara: Publishing House of the Samara Science Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences. 376 p.
8. Ageev, N. D., S. V. Goroshin, A. N. Zolotko, N. I. Poletaev, and Yu. L. Shoshin. 1989. Skorost' statsionarnogo plameni v gazovzvesyakh alyuminiya [Velocity of a stationary flame in aluminum gas suspensions]. *Gorenie geterogennykh i gazovykh sistem: mat-ly IX Vsesoyuznogo simpoziuma po goreniyu i vzryvu* [Combustion of Heterogeneous and Gas Systems: 9th All-Union Symposium on Combustion and Explosion Proceedings]. Chernogolovka: ICP AS USSR. 83–85.
9. Scurlock, A. C. 1948. Flame stabilization and propagation in high velocity gas streams. Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Fuels Research Laboratory. Meteor Report 19. 565 p.
10. Williams, G. G., H. C. Hottel, and A. C. Scurlock. 1949. Flame stabilization and propagation in high velocity gas streams. *3rd Symposium on Combustion, Flame and Explosion Phenomena Proceedings*. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins Co. 21–40.
11. Semenov, I. A., and B. V. Matseevich. 2005. Dynamic features of the combustion of gas suspensions of polydisperse aluminum powders. *Combust. Explo. Shock Waves* 41(4):430–434.
12. Yarin, L. P., and G. S. Sukhov. 1987. *Osnovy teorii goreniiya dvukhfaznykh sred* [Fundamentals of the theory of combustion of two-phase media]. Leningrad: Energoatomizdat, Leningrad Division. 238 p.
13. Malinin, V. I. 2006. *Vnutrikamernye protsessy v ustanovkakh na poroshkoobraznykh metallicheskiykh goryuchikakh* [Intrachamber processes in devices based on powdered metallic propellants]. Ekaterinburg, Perm: UrO RAN. 262 p.
14. Voronetsky, A. V., V. I. Krylov, K. Yu. Arefyev, and A. A. Gusev. 2017. Metodologicheskie aspekty razrabotki matematicheskikh modeley goreniiya chastits metallov v vozdukh [Methodological aspects of the development of mathematical models of metal particles combustion in air]. *Inzhenernyy zh.: nauka i innovatsii* [Engineering J.: Science and Innovation] 1(61):1–19.
15. Julien, P., J. Vickery, S. Goroshin, et al. 2015. Freely-propagating flames in aluminum dust clouds. *Combust. Flame* 162(11):4241–4253. doi: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2015.07.046.
16. Grinchuk, P. S. 2014. Effect of stochasticity of the spatial distribution of particles in a gas suspension on combustion front propagation. *Combust. Explo. Shock Waves* 50(3):284–293.
17. Kobayashi, H., O. Nokuyama, Y. Okuyama, and T. Niiokay. 1994. Flame propagation experiment of PMM A particle cloud in a microgravity environment. *P. Combust. Inst.* 25:1693–1699. doi: 10.1016/S0082-0784(06)80817-9.
18. Goroshin, S., I. Fomenko, J. H. S. Lee. 1996. Burning velocities in fuel-rich aluminum dust clouds. *P. Combust. Inst.* 26(2):1961–1967. doi: 10.1016/S0082-0784(96)80019-1.
19. Boichuk, L. V., V. G. Shevchuk, and A. I. Shvets. 2002. Flame propagation in two-component gas suspensions of aluminum and boron. *Combust. Explo. Shock Waves* 38(6):661–664.
20. Risha, G. A., Y. Huang, R. A. Yetter, and V. Yang. 2005. Experimental investigation of aluminum particle dust cloud combustion. AIAA Paper No. 2005-739.
21. Bocanegra, E. P., V. Sarou-Kanian, D. Davidenko, C. Chauveau, and I. Gökalp. 2009. Studies on the burning of micro- and nano-aluminum particle clouds in air. *Progress in propulsion physics*. Eds. L. DeLuca, C. Bonnal, O. Haidn, and S. Frolov. Paris: EDP Sciences; Moscow: TORUS PRESS. 1:47–62. doi: 10.1051/eucass/200901047.

Received December 8, 2025

After revision March 18, 2026

Accepted March 23, 2026

Contributor

Egorov Alexander G. (b. 1949) — Doctor of Science in technology, head of Research and Educational center “Combustion of Energetic Materials,” Togliatty State University, 14 Belorusskaya Str., Togliatty 445020, Russian Federation; eag@tltsu.ru