

EFFECT OF H₂ AND CO ADDITIVES ON THE PROCESS OF SOOT FORMATION DURING PYROLYSIS OF ETHYLENE AND METHANE

A. R. Akhunyanov¹, P. A. Vlasov^{1,2}, V. N. Smirnov¹, and V. S. Arutyunov^{1,3}

¹N. N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 4 Kosygin Str., Moscow 119991, Russian Federation

²National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, 31 Kashirskoe Sh., Moscow 115409, Russian Federation

³Federal Research Center for Problems of Chemical Physics and Medicinal Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 1 Prosp. Academician Semenov, Chernogolovka, Moscow Region 142432, Russian Federation

Abstract: The effect of H₂ and CO additives on the formation of soot nuclei and soot particles during the pyrolysis of ethylene and methane in experiments behind reflected shock waves is considered. A direct comparison of the experimental results obtained in the shock tube with the results of kinetic simulations of the soot formation process according to the kinetic model of soot formation developed by the present authors has been carried out. Comparison of experiments and simulations has shown a significant decrease in the volume fraction and soot yield during pyrolysis of ethylene and methane when hydrogen is added to the reaction mixture. A detailed kinetic mechanism of the influence of hydrogen on the soot formation process has been established. The presence of hydrogen leads to a noticeable decrease in the concentration of soot nuclei and soot particles, without changing the mechanism of their surface growth and the induction period of the soot formation process. When CO is added, it is not involved in the chemical mechanism of soot formation but acts only as a diluent gas, without affecting the soot yield.

Keywords: pyrolysis; methane; ethylene; soot; shock waves; soot formation mechanism

DOI: 10.30826/CE25180103

EDN: XZCKMI

Figure Captions

Figure 1 Experimentally measured in [3] (*I*) and calculated by the authors using a unified kinetic model of soot formation [4] (*2*) time dependences of the soot volume fraction for mixtures of 2%C₂H₄ + Ar (*T*₅ = 1993 K and *p*₅ = 4.65 bar) (*a*), 2%C₂H₄ + 0.5%H₂ + Ar (*T*₅ = 1994 K and *p*₅ = 4.48 bar) (*b*), and 2%C₂H₄ + 1%H₂ + Ar (*T*₅ = 1995 K and *p*₅ = 4.58 bar) (*c*)

Figure 2 Experimentally measured in [3] (filled signs) and calculated using a unified kinetic model of soot formation [4] (empty signs), temperature dependences of the soot yield for a reaction time of 2 ms for mixtures of 2%C₂H₄ + Ar (*1*), 2%C₂H₄ + 0.5%H₂ + Ar (*2*), and 2%C₂H₄ + 1%H₂ + Ar (*3*): curves — approximations of experimental points

Figure 3 Calculated temporal dependences of the concentration of soot particle nuclei (*1*) and total concentration of particles (nuclei + soot particles) (*2*) for mixtures of 5%C₂H₄ + Ar (*a*) and 5%C₂H₄ + 10%H₂ + Ar (*b*), *T*₅ = 2300 K, *p*₅ = 5 bar, and reaction time of 2 ms

Figure 4 Contribution of the main reactions of formation and consumption of soot particle nuclei CH[] for mixtures of 5%C₂H₄ (*a*) and 5%C₂H₄ + 10%H₂ (*b*) in argon at temperature and pressure behind the reflected shock wave *T*₅ = 2300 K and *p*₅ = 5 bar

Figure 5 Calculated temporal dependences of the concentration of soot particle nuclei (*1*) and total concentration of particles (nuclei + soot particles) (*2*) for mixtures of 10%CH₄ + Ar (*a*) and 10%CH₄ + 10%H₂ + Ar (*b*), *T*₅ = 2600 K, *p*₅ = 5 bar, and reaction time of 2 ms

Figure 6 Contribution of the main reactions of formation and consumption of soot particle nuclei CH[] for mixtures of 10%CH₄ + Ar (*a*) and 10%CH₄ + 10%H₂ + Ar (*b*) at *T*₅ = 2600 K, *p*₅ = 5 bar, and reaction time of 2 ms

Acknowledgments

The work was performed within the framework of the Program of Fundamental Research of the Russian Federation (Registration No. 122040500068-0).

References

1. Zhang, Y., Y. Xue, Z. Xu, *et al.* 2024. Effect of H₂/CO addition on soot formation in ethylene diffusion flame. *Frontiers Energy Research* 12:1363363.
2. Wang, M., X. Qian, Y. Suo, *et al.* 2023. Effect of hydrogen addition on soot formation and emission in acetylene laminar diffusion flame. *ACS omega* 8(28): 24893–24900.

3. De Iuliis, S. 2009. A shock tube and burner study on soot growth rate from ethylene in presence of hydrogen by different optical diagnostics. Food and nutrition. Université d'Orléans; Politecnico di Milano. D. Sc. Diss. 224 p.
4. Agafonov, G. L., P. A. Vlasov, I. V. Zhil'tsova, V. N. Smirnov, A. M. Tereza, I. V. Bilera, and Y. A. Kolbanovskii. 2016. Unified kinetic model of soot formation in the pyrolysis and oxidation of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons in shock waves. *Kinet. Catal.* 57(5):557–572. doi: 10.1134/S0023158416050013.
5. Frenklach, M., S. Taki, and R. A. Matula. 1983. A conceptual model for soot formation in pyrolysis of aromatic hydrocarbons. *Combust. Flame* 49:275–282.
6. Frenklach, M. 1985. Computer modeling of infinite reaction sequences: A chemical lumping. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 40:1843–1849.
7. Frenklach, M., and H. Wang. 1994. Detailed mechanism and modeling of soot particle formation. *Soot formation in combustion: Mechanisms and models*. Ed. H. Bockhorn. Springer ser. in chemical physics. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. 59:165–192.
8. Wang, H., and M. Frenklach. 1997. A detailed kinetic modeling study of aromatics formation in laminar premixed acetylene and ethylene flames. *Combust. Flame* 110(1-2):173–221. doi: 10.1016/S0010-2180(97)00068-0.
9. Appel, J., H. Bockhorn, and M. Frenklach. 2000. Kinetic modeling of soot formation with detailed chemistry and physics: Laminar premixed flames of C₂ hydrocarbons. *Combust. Flame* 121(1-2):122–136. doi: 10.1016/S0010-2180(99)00135-2.
10. Richter, H., S. Granata, W. H. Green, and J. B. Howard. 2005. Detailed modeling of PAH and soot formation in a laminar premixed benzene/oxygen/argon low-pressure flame. *P. Combust. Inst.* 30(1):1397–1405. doi: 10.1016/j.proci.2004.08.088.
11. Deuflhard, P., and M. Wulkow. 1989. Computational treatment of polyreaction kinetics by orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable. *Impact Computing Science Engineering* 1:269–301. doi: 10.1016/0899-8248(89)90013-X.
12. Wulkow, M. 1996. The simulation of molecular weight distributions in polyreaction kinetics by discrete Galerkin methods. *Macromol. Theor. Simul.* 5:393–416. doi: 10.1002/mats.1996.040050303.
13. Agafonov, G. L., P. A. Vlasov, V. N. Smirnov, A. M. Tereza, I. V. Bilera, and Y. A. Kolbanovskii. 2015. Soot formation during the pyrolysis and oxidation of acetylene and ethylene in shock waves. *Kinet. Catal.* 56(1):12–30. doi: 10.1134/S0023158415010012.
14. Wang, H., X. You, A. V. Joshi, S. G. Davis, A. Laskin, F. Egolfopoulos, and C. K. Law. 2007. USC Mech Version II. High temperature combustion reaction model of H₂/CO/C₁–C₄ compounds. Available at: http://ignis.usc.edu/USC_Mech.II.htm (accessed May 2024).
15. Skjøth-Rasmussen, M. S., P. Glarborg, M. Østberg, J. T. Johannessen, H. Livbjerg, A. D. Jensen, and T. S. Christensen. 2004. Formation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and soot in fuel-rich oxidation of methane in a laminar flow reactor. *Combust. Flame* 136:91–128. doi: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2003.09.011.
16. Frenklach, M., and J. Warnatz. 1987. Detailed modeling of PAH profiles in a sooting low-pressure acetylene flame. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* 51:265–283. doi: 10.1080/00102208708960325.
17. Wang, H., E. Dames, B. Sirjean, D. A. Sheen, R. Tangko, and A. Violi. 2010. A high-temperature chemical kinetic model of *n*-alkane (up to *n*-dodecane), cyclohexane, and methyl-, ethyl-, *n*-propyl and *n*-butyl-cyclohexane oxidation at high temperatures. JetSurF Version 2.0. Available at: <http://web.stanford.edu/group/haiwanglab/JetSurF/JetSurF2.0/index.htm> (accessed February 13, 2024).
18. Correa, C., H. Niemann, B. Schramm, and J. Warnatz. 2000. Reaction mechanism reduction for higher hydrocarbons by the ILDM method. *P. Combust. Inst.* 28:1607–1614. doi: 10.1016/S0082-0784(00)80558-5.
19. Agafonov, G. L., D. I. Mikhailov, V. N. Smirnov, A. M. Tereza, P. A. Vlasov, and I. V. Zhiltsova. 2016. Shock tube and modeling study of chemical ionization in the oxidation of acetylene and methane mixtures. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* 188(11-12):1815–1830. doi: 10.1080/00102202.2016.1211861.
20. Vlasov, P. A., I. V. Zhiltsova, V. N. Smirnov, A. M. Tereza, G. L. Agafonov, and D. I. Mikhailov. 2018. Chemical ionization of *n*-hexane, acetylene, and methane behind reflected shock waves. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* 190(1):57–81. doi: 10.1080/00102202.2017.1374954.
21. Vlasov, P. A., A. R. Akhunanov, and V. N. Smirnov. 2022. Experimental studies and simulation of methane pyrolysis and oxidation in reflected shock waves accompanied by soot formation. *Kinet. Catal.* 63(2):141–156. doi: 10.1134/S0023158422020124.

Received December 23, 2024

After revision January 9, 2025

Accepted January 16, 2025

Contributors

Akhunanov Artur R. (b. 1994) — research scientist, N. N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 4 Kosygin Str., Moscow 119991, Russian Federation; jkratos69@yandex.ru

Vlasov Pavel A. (b. 1955) — Doctor of Science in physics and mathematics, chief research scientist, N. N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 4 Kosygin Str., Moscow

119991, Russian Federation; assistant professor, National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, 31 Kashirskoe Sh., Moscow 115409, Russian Federation; iz@chph.ras.ru

Smirnov Vladimir N. (b. 1950) — Doctor of Science in physics and mathematics, chief research scientist, N. N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 4 Kosygin Str., Moscow 119991, Russian Federation; vns1951@yandex.ru

Arutyunov Vladimir S. (b. 1946) — Doctor of Science in chemistry, professor, chief research scientist, head of laboratory, N. N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 4 Kosygin Str., Moscow 119991, Russian Federation; chief research scientist, Federal Research Center for Problems of Chemical Physics and Medicinal Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 1 Prosp. Academician Semenov, Chernogolovka, Moscow Region 142432, Russian Federation; v_arutyunov@mail.ru