

# INFLUENCE OF CO AND CO<sub>2</sub> ADDITIVES ON SYNGAS FORMATION DURING STEAM CONVERSION OF METHANE FROM BIOMASS GASIFICATION PRODUCTS

A. R. Akhunyanov<sup>1</sup>, P. A. Vlasov<sup>1,2</sup>, V. N. Smirnov<sup>1</sup>, A. V. Arutyunov<sup>1</sup>, and V. S. Arutyunov<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>N. N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 4 Kosygin Str., Moscow, 119991, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup>National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, 31 Kashirskoe Sh., Moscow 115409, Russian Federation

<sup>3</sup>Federal Research Center for Problems of Chemical Physics and Medicinal Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 1 Prosp. Academician Semenov, Chernogolovka, Moscow Region 142432, Russian Federation

**Abstract:** A detailed kinetic modeling of the process of syngas production during steam reforming of various oxygen-free mixtures of methane with additives of H<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub> highly diluted with argon has been carried out taking into account the formation of microheterogeneous soot particles in the gas phase under conditions of variable temperature. In such mixtures typical for biomass gasification products, additives of H<sub>2</sub>O, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub> acted as oxidizers. A direct comparison of the authors' kinetic calculations with the results of experiments in a heated flow reactor, in which the concentrations of initial, intermediate, and final products of methane conversion in mixtures with additives of H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub> were quantified, was performed. Experiments and calculations were carried out in the temperature range 1100–1800 K at atmospheric pressure for reaction time  $t = 0.68$  s. Detailed calculations of the soot formation process were performed for all studied mixtures and experimental conditions. Comparison of the results of the authors' kinetic calculations with the results of experiments and calculations performed in a heated flow reactor made it possible to assess the effect of soot formation on the process of steam conversion of methane in mixtures with additives of H<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub>. Two ways of consumption of carbon atoms from the reacting system have been analyzed: the first way is the heterogeneous deposition of acetylene molecules from the gas phase on the surface of the reactor followed by the formation of solid carbon, and the second way is the homogeneous formation of soot particles from nuclei in the gas phase.

**Keywords:** gasification of biomass and natural gas; methane; steam reforming; syngas; H<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub> additives; microheterogeneous soot particles; heterogeneous formation of solid carbon; kinetic modeling

**DOI:** 10.30826/CE24170105

**EDN:** OAYCVC

## Figure Captions

**Figure 1** Direct comparison of the experimental results [34] (1) and kinetic simulations [34] (2) with authors' kinetic simulations (3) of temperature dependences of the mole fraction of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) (a) and hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) (b) molecules during the thermal decomposition of mixtures 0.0126CH<sub>4</sub> + 0.05CO + 0.05H<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub>O (left column) and 0.0126CH<sub>4</sub> + 0.05CO<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub>O (right column) for total reaction time  $t = 0.68$  s

**Figure 2** Direct comparison of experimental results [34] (filled signs) and kinetic simulations [34] (curves) with authors' kinetic simulations (empty signs) of the temperature dependences of the mole fraction of CO (1) and CO<sub>2</sub> (2) molecules during the thermal decomposition of mixtures 0.0126CH<sub>4</sub> + 0.05CO + 0.05H<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub>O (a) and (b) and 0.0126CH<sub>4</sub> + 0.05CO<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub>O (c) for total reaction time  $t = 0.68$  s

**Figure 3** Direct comparison of experimental results [34] (1) and kinetic simulations [34] (2) with authors' kinetic simulations (3) of the temperature dependences of the mole fraction of ethylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) (a) and acetylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) (b) molecules during the thermal decomposition of mixtures 0.0126CH<sub>4</sub> + 0.05CO + 0.05H<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub>O (left column) and 0.0126CH<sub>4</sub> + 0.05CO<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub>O (right column) for total reaction time  $t = 0.68$  s

**Figure 4** Temperature dependences of soot yield for mixtures of methane with hydrogen, water, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub> with argon: 1 – 0.0126CH<sub>4</sub> + 0.05CO + 0.05H<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub>O; and 2 – 0.0126CH<sub>4</sub> + 0.05CO<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub> + 0.05H<sub>2</sub>O. Reaction time was 0.68 s

## Acknowledgments

The work was performed within the framework of the Program of Fundamental Research of the Russian Federation (Registration No. 122040500068-0).

## References

1. Demirbas, A. 2005. Potential applications of renewable energy sources, biomass combustion problems in boiler power systems and combustion related environmental issues. *Prog. Energ. Combust.* 31:171–192. doi: 10.1016/j.pecs.2005.02.002.
2. Klose, W., and M. Wolki. 2005. On the intrinsic reaction rate of biomass char gasification with carbon dioxide and steam. *Fuel* 84:885–892.
3. Di Blasim C. 2008. Modeling chemical and physical processes of wood and biomass pyrolysis. *Prog. Energ. Combust.* 34:47–90. doi: 10.1016/j.pecs.2006.12.001.
4. Gomez-Barea, A., and B. Leckner. 2010. Modeling of biomass gasification in fluidized bed. *Prog. Energ. Combust.* 36:444–509. doi: 10.1016/j.pecs.2009.12.002.
5. Yoon, H. C., T. Cooper, and A. Steinfeld. 2011. Non-catalytic autothermal gasification of woody biomass. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 36:7852–7860. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.01.138.
6. Evans, R. J., and T. A. Milne. 1987. Molecular characterization of the pyrolysis of biomass. *Energ. Fuel.* 1:123–137. doi: 10.1021/ef00002a001.
7. Tijmensen, J. A., P. C. Faaij, N. Hamelinck, and R. M. van Hardeveld. 2002. Exploration of the possibilities for production of Fischer Tropsch liquids and power via biomass gasification. *Biomass Bioenerg.* 23:129–152. doi: 10.1016/S0961-9534(02)00037-5.
8. Shen, Y., and K. Yoshikawa. 2013. Recent progresses in catalytic tar elimination during biomass gasification or pyrolysis — a review. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 21:371–392. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.12.062.
9. Palumbo, A. W., E. L. Jorgensen, J. C. Sorli, and A. W. Weimer. 2013. Co-processing methane in high temperature steam gasification of biomass. *Bioresource Technol.* 128:553–559. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2012.10.033.
10. Palumbo, A. W., J. C. Sorli, and A. W. Weimer. 2015. High temperature thermochemical processing of biomass and methane for high conversion and selectivity to H<sub>2</sub>-enriched syngas. *Appl. Energ.* 157:13–24. doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.07.072.
11. Anis, S., and Z. A. Zainal. 2011. Tar reduction in biomass producer gas via mechanical, catalytic and thermal methods: A review. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 15:2355–2377. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2011.02.018.
12. Pregger, T., D. Graf, W. Krewitt, C. Sattler, M. Roeb, and S. Moeller. 2009. Prospects of solar thermal hydrogen production processes. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 34:4256–4267. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.03.025.
13. Trommer, D., F. Noembrini, M. Fasciana, et al. 2005. Hydrogen production by steam-gasification of petroleum coke using concentrated solar power — I. Thermodynamic and kinetic analyses. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 30:605–618. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2004.06.002.
14. Z'Graggen, A., and A. Steinfeld. 2008. Hydrogen production by steam-gasification of carbonaceous materials using concentrated solar energy — V. Reactor modeling, optimization, and scale-up. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 33:5484–5492. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.07.047.
15. Karim, G. A., and I. Wierzba. 2008. The production of hydrogen through the uncatalyzed partial oxidation of methane in an internal combustion engine. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 33:2105–2110. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.01.051.
16. Xie, D., J. Zhao, Z. Wang, and Y. Zhang. 2013. Syngas production from oxidative methane reforming and CO cleaning with water gas shift reaction. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 38:10826–10832. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.01.012.
17. Kim, S., A. M. Dean, and J. Bae. 2013. Coupled transport and kinetics in the mixing region for hydrocarbon autothermal reforming applications. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 38:16140–16151. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.09.119.
18. Guiberti, T. F., C. Garnier, P. Scouflaire, et al. 2016. Experimental and numerical analysis of non-catalytic partial oxidation and steam reforming of CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures including the impact of radiative heat losses. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 41:8616–8626. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.03.009.
19. Stendardo, S., P. U. Foscolo, M. Nobili, and S. Scaccia. 2016. High quality syngas production via steam–oxygen blown bubbling fluidised bed gasifier. *Energy* 103:697–708. doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2016.03.011.
20. Kim, S., and J. Bae. 2014. Numerical analysis of a 20-kWe biogas steam reformer in PEMFC applications. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 39:19485–19493. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.09.137.
21. Brage, C., Q. Yu, G. Chen, and K. Sjostrom. 2020. Tar evolution profiles obtained from gasification of biomass and coal. *Biomass Bioenerg.* 18:87–91. doi: 10.1016/S0961-9534(99)00069-0.
22. Olsvik, O., and F. Billaud. 1993. Modelling of the decomposition of methane at 1273 K in a plug flow reactor at low conversion. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol.* 25:395–405. doi: 10.1016/0165-2370(93)80058-8.
23. Ranzi, E., A. Sogaro, P. Gaffuri, G. Pennati, and T. Faravelli. 1994. A wide range modeling study of methane oxidation. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* 96:279–325. doi: 10.1080/00102209408935359.
24. Tan, Y., P. Dagaut, M. Cathonnet, and J.-C. Boettner. 1994. Oxidation and ignition of methane–propane and methane–ethane–propane mixtures: Experiments and modeling. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* 103:133–151. doi: 10.1080/00102209408907691.
25. Marinov, N. M., W. J. Pitz, C. K. Westbrook, A. E. Lutz, A. M. Vincitore, and S. M. Senkan. 1998. Chemical kinetic modeling of a methane opposed-flow diffusion flame and comparison to experiments. *P. Combust. Inst.* 27:605–613. doi: 10.1016/S0082-0784(98)80452-9.
26. Petersen, E. L., D. F. Davidson, and R. K. Hanson. 1999. Kinetics modeling of shock-induced ignition in low-dilution CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> mixtures at high pressures and intermediate temperatures. *Combust. Flame* 117:272–290. doi: 10.1016/S0010-2180(98)00111-4.
27. Smith, G. P., D. Golden, M. Frenklach, et al. GRI-Mech 3.0. Available at: [http://www.me.berkeley.edu/gri\\_mech/](http://www.me.berkeley.edu/gri_mech/) (accessed February 13, 2024).

28. Hughes, K. J., T. Turanyi, A. R. Clague, and M. J. Pilling. 2001. Development and testing of a comprehensive chemical mechanism for the oxidation of methane. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* 33:513–538. doi: 10.1002/kin.1048.
29. Zhu, J., D. Zhang, and K. D. King. 2001. Reforming of CH<sub>4</sub> by partial oxidation: Thermodynamic and kinetic analyses. *Fuel* 80:899–905. doi: 10.1016/S0016-2361(00)00165-4.
30. Konnov, A. A., F. J. Barnes, J. H. Bromly, J. N. Zhu, and D. Zhang. 2005. The pseudo-catalytic promotion of nitric oxide oxidation by ethane at low temperatures. *Combust. Flame* 141:191–199. doi: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2005.01.003.
31. De Ferrieres, S., A. El Bakali, B. Lefort, M. Montero, and J. F. Pauwels. 2008. Experimental and numerical investigation of low-pressure laminar premixed synthetic natural gas/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> and natural gas/H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> flames. *Combust. Flame* 154:601–623. doi: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2008.04.018.
32. Metcalfe, W. K., S. M. Burke, S. S. Ahmed, and H. J. Curran. 2013. A hierarchical and comparative kinetic modeling study of C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>2</sub> hydrocarbon and oxygenated fuels. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* 45:638–675. doi: 10.1002/kin.20802.
33. Valin, S., J. Cancès, P. Castelli, et al. 2009. Upgrading biomass pyrolysis gas by conversion of methane at high temperature: Experiments and modelling. *Fuel* 88:834–842. doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2008.11.033.
34. Hiblot, H., I. Ziegler-Devin, R. Fournet, and P. A. Glaude. 2016. Steam reforming of methane in a synthesis gas from biomass gasification. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 41:18329–18338. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.07.226.
35. Akhunyanov, A. R., P. A. Vlasov, V. N. Smirnov, A. V. Arutyunov, D. I. Mikhailov, and V. S. Arutyunov. 2023. Sravnenie vliyaniya dobavok H<sub>2</sub>O i CO<sub>2</sub> na protsess konversii metana v sintez-gaz [Comparison of the effect of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> additives on the conversion of methane into synthesis gas]. *Goren. Vzryv (Mosk.) — Combustion and Explosion* 16(3):10–19. doi: 10.30826/CE23160302.
36. Akhunyanov, P. A. Vlasov, V. N. Smirnov, A. V. Arutyunov, D. I. Mikhailov, and V. S. Arutyunov. 2023. Influence of soot particles on the gas-phase methane conversion into synthesis gas: The role of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> additives. *Kinet. Catal.* 64(6):700–715. doi: 10.1134/S0023158423060010.
37. Agafonov, G. L., P. A. Vlasov, I. V. Zhil'tsova, V. N. Smirnov, A. M. Tereza, I. V. Bilera, and Y. A. Kolbanovskii. 2016. Unified kinetic model of soot formation in the pyrolysis and oxidation of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons in shock waves. *Kinet. Catal.* 57(5):557–572. doi: 10.1134/S0023158416050013.
38. Frenklach, M., S. Taki, and R. A. Matula. 1983. A conceptual model for soot formation in pyrolysis of aromatic hydrocarbons. *Combust. Flame* 49:275–282.
39. Frenklach, M. 1985. Computer modeling of infinite reaction sequences: A chemical lumping. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 40:1843–1849.
40. Frenklach, M., and H. Wang. 1994. *Soot formation in combustion: Mechanisms and models*. Ed. H. Bockhorn. Springer ser. in chemical physics. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. 59:162–190.
41. Wang, H., and M. Frenklach. 1997. A detailed kinetic modeling study of aromatics formation in laminar premixed acetylene and ethylene flames. *Combust. Flame* 110(1-2):173–221. doi: 10.1016/S0010-2180(97)00068-0.
42. Appel, J., H. Bockhorn, and M. Frenklach. 2000. Kinetic modeling of soot formation with detailed chemistry and physics: Laminar premixed flames of C<sub>2</sub> hydrocarbons. *Combust. Flame* 121(1-2):122–136. doi: 10.1016/S0010-2180(99)00135-2.
43. Richter, H., S. Granata, W. H. Green, and J. B. Howard. 2005. Detailed modeling of PAH and soot formation in a laminar premixed benzene/oxygen/argon low-pressure flame. *P. Combust. Inst.* 30(1):1397–1405. doi: 10.1016/j.proci.2004.08.088.
44. Deuflhard, P., and M. Wulkow. 1989. Computational treatment of polyreaction kinetics by orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable. *Impact Computing Science Engineering* 1:269–301. doi: 10.1016/0899-8248(89)90013-X.
45. Wulkow, M. 1996. The simulation of molecular weight distributions in polyreaction kinetics by discrete Galerkin methods. *Macromol. Theor. Simul.* 5:393–416. doi: 10.1002/mats.1996.040050303.
46. Agafonov, G. L., P. A. Vlasov, V. N. Smirnov, A. M. Tereza, I. V. Bilera, and Y. A. Kolbanovskii. 2015. Soot formation during the pyrolysis and oxidation of acetylene and ethylene in shock waves. *Kinet. Catal.* 56(1):12–30. doi: 10.1134/S0023158415010012.
47. Wang, H., X. You, A. V. Joshi, S. G. Davis, A. Laskin, F. Egolfopoulos, and C. K. Law. 2007. USC Mech Version II. High temperature combustion reaction model of H<sub>2</sub>/CO/C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> compounds. Available at: [http://ignis.usc.edu/USC-Mech\\_II.htm](http://ignis.usc.edu/USC-Mech_II.htm) (accessed May, 2024).
48. Skjøth-Rasmussen, M. S., P. Glarborg, M. Østberg, J. T. Johannessen, H. Livbjerg, A. D. Jensen, and T. S. Christensen. 2004. Formation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and soot in fuel-rich oxidation of methane in a laminar flow reactor. *Combust. Flame* 136:91–128. doi: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2003.09.011.
49. Richter, H., S. Granata, W. H. Green, and J. B. Howard. 2005. Detailed modeling of PAH and soot formation in a laminar premixed benzene/oxygen/argon low-pressure flame. *P. Combust. Inst.* 30:1397–1405. doi: 10.1016/j.proci.2004.08.088.
50. Frenklach, M., and J. Warnatz. 1987. Detailed modeling of PAH profiles in a sooting low-pressure acetylene flame. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* 51:265–283. doi: 10.1080/00102208708960325.
51. Wang, H., E. Dames, B. Sirjean, D. A. Sheen, R. Tangko, and A. Violi. 2010. A high-temperature chemical kinetic model of n-alkane (up to n-dodecane), cyclohexane, and

- methyl-, ethyl-, *n*-propyl and *n*-butyl-cyclohexane oxidation at high temperatures. JetSurF Version 2.0. Available at: <http://web.stanford.edu/group/haiwanglab/JetSurF/JetSurF2.0/index.htm> (accessed February 13, 2024).
52. Correa, C., H. Niemann, B. Schramm, and J. Warnatz. 2000. Reaction mechanism reduction for higher hydrocarbons by the ILDM method. *P. Combust. Inst.* 28:1607–1614. doi: 10.1016/S0082-0784(00)80558-5.
53. Agafonov, G. L., D. I. Mikhailov, V. N. Smirnov, A. M. Terenza, P. A. Vlasov, and I. V. Zhiltsova. 2016. Shock tube and modeling study of chemical ionization in the oxidation of acetylene and methane mixtures. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* 188(11-12):1815–1830. doi: 10.1080/00102202.2016.1211861.
54. Vlasov, P. A., I. V. Zhiltsova, V. N. Smirnov, A. M. Terenza, G. L. Agafonov, and D. I. Mikhailov. 2018. Chemical ionization of *n*-hexane, acetylene, and methane behind reflected shock waves. *Combust. Sci. Technol.* 190(1):57–81. doi: 10.1080/00102202.2017.1374954.
55. Vlasov, P. A., A. R. Akhunyanov, and V. N. Smirnov. 2022. Experimental studies and simulation of methane pyrolysis and oxidation in reflected shock waves accompanied by soot formation. *Kinet. Catal.* 63(2):141–156. doi: 10.1134/S0023158422020124.

Received December 19, 2023

## Contributors

**Akhunyanov Artur R.** (b. 1994) — research scientist, N. N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 4 Kosygin Str., Moscow 119991, Russian Federation; jkratos69@yandex.ru

**Vlasov Pavel A.** (b. 1955) — Doctor of Science in physics and mathematics, leading research scientist, N. N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 4 Kosygin Str., Moscow 119991, Russian Federation; assistant professor, National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, 31 Kashirskoe Sh., Moscow 115409, Russian Federation; iz@chph.ras.ru

**Smirnov Vladimir N.** (b. 1950) — Doctor of Science in physics and mathematics, chief research scientist, N. N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 4 Kosygin Str., Moscow 119991, Russian Federation; vns1951@yandex.ru

**Arutyunov Artem V.** (b. 1994) — Candidate of Science in physics and mathematics, research scientist, N. N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 4 Kosygin Str., Moscow 119991, Russian Federation; aarutyunov@gmail.com

**Arutyunov Vladimir S.** (b. 1946) — Doctor of Science in chemistry, professor, head of laboratory, N. N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 4 Kosygin Str., Moscow 119991, Russian Federation; head of laboratory, Federal Research Center for Problems of Chemical Physics and Medicinal Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 1 Prosp. Academician Semenov, Chernogolovka, Moscow Region 142432, Russian Federation; arutyunov@chph.ras.ru