

NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF INTERACTION OF THE NORMALLY INCIDENT SHOCK WAVE WITH A LAYER OF PARTICLES USING BAER–NUNZIATO SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS

Ya. Poroshyna¹ and P. Utkin²

¹Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 9 Institutsky Per., Dolgoprudny, Moscow Region 141700, Russian Federation

²Institute for Computer Aided Design of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 19/18 Brestskaya 2nd Str., Moscow 123056, Russian Federation

Abstract: The work presents the results of numerical simulation of the experiment on interaction of a shock wave with a loose layer of particles near the impermeable wall. The mathematical model is based on the solution of Baer–Nunziato system of equations and takes into account compaction of the solid phase of particles. The numerical algorithm is based on Godunov method with the pressure relaxation procedure for the stable computation of explicit interfacial boundaries. The shape of the pressure curve, obtained on the wall under the layer, is explained from the point of view of ongoing wave processes in the layer. A quantitative comparison of the experimental and simulated pressure curves is carried out. Parametric studies of the influence of compaction law parameters on the simulation results are conducted. The simulation results are quantitatively compared with the calculations of others researchers performed using the equations of Nigmatulin. The differences in results are discussed using two models of two-phase medium flow.

Keywords: shock wave; compaction wave; particles layer; Baer–Nunziato equations; Godunov method; pressure relaxation; numerical simulation

DOI: 10.30826/CE20130109

Figure Captions

Figure 1 Schematic statement of the problem. Dimensions are in millimeters

Figure 2 The initial stage of interaction of the shock wave with the layer of particles: 1 — $\bar{\alpha}$; 2 — \bar{p} ; 3 — p ; and 4 — β

Figure 3 Pressure recorded by a sensor near the wall: 1 — \bar{p} ; 2 — p ; 3 — p_{mix} ; 4 — p_{calc} ; and 5 — p_{exp}

Figure 4 Pressure p_{mix} obtained for different values of parameter \bar{P}_0 : 1 — $\bar{P}_0 = 0.1$ GPa; 2 — $\bar{P}_0 = 2.6$ GPa; and 3 — experiment

Figure 5 Pressure p_{mix} obtained for different values of parameter a : 1 — $a = 10^4$ J/kg; 2 — $3 \cdot 10^4$; 3 — $a = 10^5$ J/kg; and 4 — experiment

Acknowledgments

This research has been conducted as a part of the state task of ICAD RAS.

References

1. Lebecki, K. 2010. Underground mine explosions — past, present, future. *8th Symposium (International) on Hazards, Prevention, and Mitigation of Industrial Explosion Proceedings*. Yokohama, Japan. Paper Plenary-4.
2. Gelfand, B. E., S. P. Medvedev, A. A. Borisov, A. N. Polenov, S. M. Frolov, and S. A. Tsyanov. 1989. Shock loading of stratified dusty systems. *Archivum Combustionis* 9(4):153–165.
3. Baer, M. R., and J. W. Nunziato. 1986. A two-phase mixture theory for the deflagration-to-detonation transition in reactive granular materials. *Int. J. Multiphas. Flow* 12(6):861–889. doi: 10.1016/0301-9322(86)90033-9.
4. Kutushev, A. G., and D. A. Rudakov. 1993. Numerical study of the action of a shock wave on an obstacle screened by a layer of porous powder material. *J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys.* 34(5):618–624. doi: 10.1007/BF00859825.
5. Fedorov, A. V., and I. A. Fedorchenco. 2005. Computation of dust lifting behind a shock wave sliding along the layer. Verification of the model. *Combust. Explos. Shock Waves* 41(3):336–345. doi: 10.1007/s10573-005-0041-z.
6. Nigmatulin, R. I. 1990. *Dynamics of multiphase media*. 1st ed. CRC Press. Vol. 1. 532 p.
7. Britain, A., G. Ben-Dor, T. Elperin, O. Iggra, and J. P. Jiang. 1997. Mechanism of compressive formation during weak shock waves impact with granular materials. *Exp. Fluids*

- 22:507–518. doi: 10.1007/s003480050078.
8. Gubaidullin, A. A., D. N. Dudko, and S. F. Urmanceev. 2000. Modeling of the interaction between an air shock wave and a porous screen. *Combust. Explos. Shock Waves* 36(4):496–505. doi: 10.1007/BF02699481.
 9. Gubaidullin, A. A., D. N. Dudko, and S. F. Urmanceev. 2001. Vozdeystvie vozduzhnykh udarnykh voln na pregrady, pokrytye poristym sloem [Influence of air shock waves on obstacles covered by porous layer]. *Vychislitel'nye tekhnologii* [Computational Technologies] 6(3):7–20.
 10. Gidaspow, D. 1994. *Multiphase flow and fluidization*. Academic Press. 467 p.
 11. Khmel', T. A., and A. V. Fedorov. 2014. Description of dynamic processes in two-phase colliding media with the use of molecular-kinetic approaches. *Combust. Explos. Shock Waves* 50(2):196–207. doi: 10.1134/S0010508214020117.
 12. Khmel', T. A., and A. V. Fedorov. 2014. Modeling of propagation of shock and detonation waves in dusty media with allowance for particle collisions. *Combust. Explos. Shock Waves* 50(5):547–555. doi: 10.1134/S0010508214050104.
 13. Houim, R. W., and E. S. Oran. 2016. A multiphase model for compressible granular-gaseous flows: Formulation and initial tests. *J. Fluid Mech.* 789:166–220. doi: 10.1017/jfm.2015.728.
 14. Saurel, R., and R. Abrall. 1999. A multiphase Godunov method for compressible multifluid and multiphase flows. *J. Comput. Phys.* 150:425–467. doi: 10.1006/jcph.1999.6187.
 15. Bdzhil, J. B., R. Menikoff, S. F. Son, A. K. Kapila, and D. S. Stewart. 1999. Two-phase modeling of deflagration-to-detonation transition in granular materials: A critical examination of modeling issues. *Phys. Fluids* 11(2):378–402. doi: 10.1063/1.869887.
 16. Schwendeman, D. W., C. W. Wahle, and A. K. Kapila. 2008. A study of detonation evolution and structure for a model of compressible two-phase reactive flow. *Combust. Theor. Model.* 12(1):159–204. doi: 10.1080/13647830701564538.
 17. Utkin, P. S. 2017. Mathematical modeling of the interaction of a shock wave with a dense cloud of particles within the framework of the two-fluid approach. *Russ. J. Phys. Chem. B* 11(6):963–973. doi: 10.1134/S1990793117050141.
 18. Utkin, P. S. 2017. Nekotorye vychislitel'nye aspekty modelirovaniya vzaimodeystviya udarnoy volny s oblakom chashits v ramkakh dvukhzhidkostnoy modeli [Some numerical aspects of the modeling of the shock wave-particles cloud interaction using two-fluid model]. *Goren. vzryv (Mosk.) — Combustion and Explosion* 10(3):53–57.
 19. Rogue, X., G. Rodriguez, J. F. Haas, and R. Saurel. 1998. Experimental and numerical investigation of the shock-induced fluidization of a particles bed. *Shock Waves* 8:29–45. doi: 10.1007/s001930050096.
 20. Godunov, S. K., A. V. Zabrodin, M. Ya. Ivanov, A. N. Krayko, and G. P. Prokopov. 1976. *Chislennoe reshenie mnogomernykh zadach gazovoy dinamiki* [Numerical solution of multidimensional gas dynamics problems]. Moscow: Nauka. 400 p.
 21. Moiseev, N. Y., and T. A. Mukhamadieva. 2008. Newton's method as applied to the Riemann problem for media with general equations of state. *Comp. Math. Math. Phys.* 48(6):1039–1047. doi: 10.1134/S0965542508060134.
 22. Saurel, R., N. Favrie, F. Petitpas, M.-H. Lallemand, and S. L. Gavrilyuk. 2010. Modelling dynamic and irreversible powder compaction. *J. Fluid Mech.* 664:348–396. doi: 10.1017/S0022112010003794.
 23. Strang, G. 1968. On the construction and comparison of difference schemes. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 5(3):506–517. doi: 10.1137/0705041.
 24. Schwendeman, D. W., C. W. Wahle, and A. K. Kapila. 2006. The Riemann problem and high-resolution Godunov method for a model of compressible two-phase flow. *J. Comput. Phys.* 212:490–526. doi: 10.1016/j.jcp.2005.07.012.
 25. Utkin, P. 2019. Numerical simulation of shock wave—dense particles cloud interaction using Godunov solver for Baer—Nunziato equations. *Int. J. Numer. Method. H.* 29(9):3225–3241. doi: 10.1108/HFF-10-2018-0587.
 26. Poroshyna, Y., and P. Utkin. 2019. Pressure relaxation procedure with compaction for the problems of shock wave—particles beds interaction. *32nd Symposium (International) on Shock Waves Proceedings*. Singapore. OR-14-0254:1649–1656. doi: 10.3850/978-981-11-2730-4-0254-cd.
 27. Khishchenko, K.V., Lomonosov, I.V. and V.E. Fortov. 1996. Equations of state for organic compounds over wide range of densities and pressures. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 370:125–128. doi: 10.1063/1.50728.
 28. Kikoin, I. K. 1976. *Tablitsy fizicheskikh velichin* [Tables of physical quantities]. Moscow: Atomisdat. 1008 p.
 29. Simha, R., P. S. Wilson, and O. Olabisi. 1973. Pressure—volume—temperature properties of amorphous polymers: Empirical and theoretical predictions. *Kolloid Z. Z. Polym.* 251(6):402–408. doi: 10.1007/BF01498686.

Received November 16, 2019

Contributors

Poroshina Yaroslava E. (b. 1996) — first-year PhD student, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 9 Institutsky Per., Dolgoprudny, Moscow Region 141700, Russian Federation; poroshina@phystech.edu

Utkin Pavel S. (b. 1985) — Candidate of Science in physics and mathematics, senior research scientist, Institute for Computer Aided Design, Russian Academy of Sciences, 19/18 Brestskaya 2nd Str., Moscow 123056, Russian Federation; pavel_utk@mail.ru